De Giuseppe Time Travel and Macroscopic Entanglement Model: Emergent Retrocausality and Informational Loops

Alex De Giuseppe (Corresponding Author)[1]

[1]Province of Parma, Italy

January 20, 2026

Abstract

We introduce a unified framework for emergent retrocausality, time-like loops, and macroscopic entanglement, based on the De Giuseppe Paradox 2.0 and the KD energy-time mapping. The key mechanism relies on a geometrical and informational pre-configuration of objects—encoded in matrioska layers (ΔC), (ΔM), and (ΔL)—rather than high velocities or energy injection. Using a spacetime configuration function f, we formalize when loops and correlations emerge, allowing both retrocausal effects and macroscopic entanglement in principle. An example illustrates application to a single brick, demonstrating how informational and configurational constraints alone suffice to generate correlations without motion or additional energy.

1 Introduction

The De Giuseppe framework generalizes classical time travel paradoxes and entanglement phenomena into a **configurationally constrained model**, where the causal order can appear violated under precise spacetime or matrioska-layer arrangements. Unlike conventional SR-based paradoxes requiring high velocities or General Relativity energy concentration, the emergent effects here arise from **topological and informational constraints**.

2 Paradox 2.0: Emergent Retrocausality

We define emergent retrocausality in a spacetime configuration scenario:

2.1 Scenario

A subject (A) transports materials to an endpoint Y. A collaborator (B) constructs a barrier at an intermediate point M after a delay. Properly timed and located, the function

$$f((x_M, t_M), (x_Y, t_Y)) = 1$$

indicates a temporal loop emerges: A's transported materials and B's construction interact retrocausally, without FTL signaling.

2.2 Mathematical Formalism

$$f((x_M, t_M), (x_Y, t_Y)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if retrocausal loop occurs} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Retrocausality requires:

$$\Delta t_B(M \to Y) < \Delta t_A(Y \to M) \tag{2}$$

in the frame of B, producing a loop **entirely configuration-dependent**.

3 Matrioska Layers and Informational Loops

3.1 Layer Definitions

- (ΔC): Geometrical admissibility; spatial orientation, placement.
- (ΔM): Material microstate coherence; temperature, structure, isolation.
- (ΔL): Informational correlations; pre-encoded logical or quantum-like constraints.

3.2 Function f Applied to Matrioskas

For any pair of objects (or within a single matrioska), f evaluates the existence of **informational linkage**:

$$f : ((\Delta C), (\Delta M), (\Delta L)) \mapsto \{0, 1\} \tag{3}$$

where $f = 1$ signals emergent retrocausal or entanglement phenomena.

4 Macroscopic Entanglement Without Energy or Velocity

4.1 Concept

Consider a single brick prepared in layers (ΔC), (ΔM), (ΔL) with a reference system. No motion or additional energy is applied; the entanglement arises purely from **geometrical and informational pre-configuration**.

4.2 Procedure

1. **Preparation**: Align brick and reference object geometrically ((ΔC) layer).
2. **Initialization**: Encode correlations in (ΔL) layer.
3. **Isolation**: Stabilize microstates ((ΔM) layer).
4. **Verification**: Measure correlation; $f = 1$ indicates successful macroscopic entanglement.

4.3 Interpretation

The brick and reference are informationally linked. Observing one provides correlated information about the other. This loop is **informational, not kinetic**, and demonstrates that macroscopic entanglement is possible in principle.

5 Example: Single Brick Loop

Example 5.1 (Brick Informational Loop)**.** Let Brick A be positioned at (x_A, t_{prep}) and Brick B at (x_B, t_{prep}). Applying function f:

$$f((x_A, t_{\text{prep}}), (x_B, t_{\text{prep}})) = 1$$

ensures that the two bricks are informationally entangled.

No energy or movement is applied. (ΔC), (ΔM), (ΔL) constraints guarantee that measurement of one brick instantaneously informs the state of the other.

6 Implications and Future Work

- Emergent retrocausality and macroscopic entanglement arise from **configuration constraints**, not energy or velocity.
- The key to replication is **preparation**: geometrical arrangement $((\Delta C))$, microstate stability $((\Delta M))$, and information encoding $((\Delta L))$.
- This framework unifies time travel paradoxes, information-based loops, and entanglement into a **single predictive model**.
- Future work: numerical simulation of f in complex macroscopic systems and exploration of scalable entanglement arrays.

7 Conclusion

The De Giuseppe Time Travel and Macroscopic Entanglement Model formalizes **informational loops and retrocausality** through matrioska-layer pre-configuration. Macroscopic entanglement is conceptually achievable **without energy injection or high velocities**, relying solely on spatial, material, and informational alignment. This provides a rigorous pathway for studying emergent loops and correlations in both theoretical and experimental settings.

References

[1] A. Einstein, "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper," Annalen der Physik, 17, 891–921 (1905).

[2] R.C. Tolman, "The Theory of the Relativity of Motion," University of California Press, 1917.

[3] W. Rindler, "Introduction to Special Relativity," 2nd Edition, Oxford University Press, 2006.